



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/656,630

09/05/2003

David J. Parins

1001.1674101

8129

28075 7590 01/15/2009  
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC  
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE  
SUITE 800  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

EXAMINER

HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERDEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3736

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/656,630	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PARINS, DAVID J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA

(2) J. Scot Wickhem

**Date of Interview:** 14 January 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Provisional Obviousness Type Double Patenting with App 10/656,418*

Claims discussed:  
 1-26, 28, 33-46

Prior art documents discussed:  
*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** After Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:00 a.m.

  

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant and Examiner discussed the claim amendments filed 12/23/2008 after the mailing of the final rejection mailed 11/10/2008. The Examiner indicated the proposed amendments appeared to place the application in condition for allowance.

However, the Examiner indicated it appeared prosecution would be re-opened and a provisional obviousness type double patenting rejection would be issued to reject all of the pending claims in the instant application with co-pending and commonly owned application 10/656,418. Applicant indicated the intent to file Terminal Disclaimers in both applications. The examiner indicated that upon cursory review the terminal disclaimer would appear to place the instant application in condition for allowance.